
IS THE GREEN BOND MARKET RUNNING OUT OF STEAM?

KEY POINTS

- Growth in green bond issuance has been extraordinary given they offer no credit enhancement to other bonds and carry additional reporting requirements.
- Demand from investors with an environmentally-focussed agenda appears to be driving green bond prices to a premium.
- A potential opportunity exists to identify 'unlabelled' debt, supportive of a sustainable future but not carrying the green bond premium.
- While 'labelled' green bond growth may no longer be exponential, a clear trend is in place for more sustainability issuance, likely through more diverse instruments.



Gail Counihan
ESG Analyst
Franklin Templeton
Fixed Income Group

From its humble beginnings in 2007, when the first Climate Awareness Bond was issued by the European Investment Bank, the green bond market has been the poster child for growth in environmentally responsible assets. Adjectives such as 'robust', 'stunning' and 'exponential' have been used to describe the expansion of the market from \$1.48 billion in 2007 to \$173.61 billion in 2017. On the one hand, this growth is impressive if we consider that green bonds are no more legally secure than regular bonds. On the other hand, the volume of green bonds might appear insignificant when compared with the \$6 trillion that we should be investing each year just to climate-proof our infrastructure, according to the *Investing in Climate, Investing in Growth* report by the OECD.

So where exactly is the green bond market headed? Is growth likely to persist and reach the levels cited by the OECD? The volume of green issuance in 2018 suggests in fact that growth is waning: the market grew barely 5% in 2018. What exactly do we know about how the broader market is evolving?

Markets are innovating

In order to qualify as green, bonds must fund specific green projects. The issuer should also meet additional requirements

set out in a voluntary set of guidelines known as the Green Bond Principles (GBP). Adherence to the principles allows investors to assess the environmental impact of their green bond investment; and they assist underwriters by moving the market towards the standard disclosures which will facilitate transactions. It is generally accepted as best practice that an issuer will obtain an external review to demonstrate compliance with the Green Bond Principles, since the principles themselves are voluntary and not a legal requirement. To recap: green bonds are a debt instrument – just like any other bond, with additional reporting requirements, and no upside in terms of credit enhancement. Viewed this way, the compound annual growth rate of 54% that the green bond market has shown over the past decade is impressive. While growth in green bonds might recently have cooled, the issuance of sustainable debt has not. Green loans, sustainability-linked loans, green mortgages – these are all market responses to investors demanding something slightly different, especially when the green bond framework is not a perfect fit for the project, the issuer or the investor. A green bond issuer must demonstrate that 90% of the bond's proceeds are being used to fund a specific sustainable project, such as renewable energy, pollution prevention and control, biodiversity conservation, water and wastewater management, energy efficiency technologies, green buildings, climate

change adaptation projects or technologies, clean transportation, or natural resource management. And while there is no formal minimum issue size mandated by the GBP, investors will look for a size that is big enough to guarantee liquidity and index inclusion. This usually translates to around \$300 million – a number that is simply too big for many companies to dedicate to the types of qualifying projects.

For these companies, sustainability-linked loans represent a more accessible way to tap the growing green investor base. These are credit facilities that come with sustainability targets. They can be smaller in size than green bonds and customised to the issuer in question. When the borrower fulfils the pre-agreed criteria – improving the energy efficiency of a real estate portfolio, for example – the interest rate on the facility drops. In this way, the “additionality” is very clear.

The growth in these types of products surged 677% in 2018 – showing that the market is all too willing to innovate and accommodate where investors are showing a preference for green products. Such products are also becoming more accessible to the man on the street – with Barclays launching its first green mortgage in April 2018. New build homes that meet high energy efficiency standards – an A or B EPC rating – can qualify for a 0.1% reduction on their mortgage rate. Domestic heating is a huge consumer of energy – so giving the option to home-owners to reduce their mortgage payments as well as their energy consumption is a win-win. This kind of innovation, that allows more investors to find the green product that matches their needs, will be one of the main drivers of the long-term growth of green finance.

Investors will pay for impact

From an investor’s point of view, the premium attached to green bonds is hard to explain. Academic literature has fallen short of demonstrating that green bonds are more expensive simply because they are green – in line with the fact that there is simply no credit enhancement attached to the green designation. A simpler explanation for why they are pricier may be because the demand for these instruments, driven by the number of market participants with an environmentally focused agenda, exceeds the supply.

Data for 2018 from the Climate Bonds Initiative shows green bonds achieving greater spread compression than their non-green equivalents. The same data shows 2018 to be the first year in which green bonds were more oversubscribed than their non-green equivalents. The same pattern was repeated in the secondary market, where 72% of green bonds had tighter spreads than ordinary bonds after seven days, and 62% were tighter after 28 days. While oversubscription and tight pricing are regular features of the bond market, 2018 was the first year in which the effect was more pronounced in green bonds.

What could explain the outsize demand for a slightly more expensive asset? According to the same research, roughly half of green bonds are allocated to green investors – which still leaves a sizeable amount of demand coming from investors that have no disclosed mandate to target impact over return. Since investors aren’t paying for a better credit profile, it seems reasonable to conclude that investors are willing to pay for this level of transparency around environmental performance, and for the environmental performance itself.

What the data does not clarify is whether investors are willing to look for this environmental dividend, or impact, themselves, or whether they are happy to compete with other investors and pay up for “green” labelled debt. From an investor’s perspective, might it not make more sense to search for companies that are making products that are supportive of a low-carbon future, but which are not labelling their bonds as “green”? This would allow


investors to earn a higher return on their unlabelled green debt instruments, while providing liquidity to a portion of the market that would seemingly benefit from it. These companies – known as “green pure plays” - should absolutely be recognised as compliant with the Green Bond Principles, according to Suzanne Buchta, one of the original authors of the principles.

The idea that labels and ratings create convenience – and opportunity - is hardly novel in debt markets. Most rigorous debt analysis is predicated on the fact that inefficiencies exist, and it is up to the diligent investor to find them. For the investor who places value on environmental impact, this unlabelled universe of climate-aligned debt represents opportunity.

More companies in more sectors are issuing green debt – the green bond market is diversifying

While historically green bond issuers have tended to come from a handful of sectors, investors are now able to gain exposure to green products from a wider range of issuers, industries and regions. Recent years have seen issuances from health care, consumer staples, materials, and technology (Figure 1) – with the number of unique issuers growing from four in 2008 to more than 300 in 2018.

Figure 1. Issuers from an increasingly diverse sector base are coming to market



Source: Bloomberg

In keeping with this trend, dedicated green-bond funds are also on the rise – growing by 58% between 2017 and 2018 alone. Globally, there are now four different frameworks under which green bonds can be issued – each with differing standards that factor in regional nuances – and more than five different indices against which investors can benchmark their performance, each with their own inclusion criteria.

Beyond the Green Bond Principles: the need for a global carbon tax

The Green Bond Principles have played an invaluable role in organising and catalysing the market, providing a framework to help direct the flow of capital towards climate-aligned projects. They have also guided the kind of metrics that investors should be looking for. In return, issuers have been able to tap into an investor base that is willing to compete for returns from green projects.

However, as countries come to grips with what needs to be done to meet their obligations under the Paris Agreement on Climate Change, a voluntary set of principles will take us only so far. We need consensus on a meaningful, global price on carbon – one that can act as a clear market signal that rewards low-carbon products and services over alternatives that are more harmful to the environment.

So while the labelled green bond market may not grow as exponentially as in the first decade of its life, the trend is clear: investors are willing to pay for environmental impact. This demand will only grow as the obligations of the Paris Agreement come into effect. Both companies and governments will become more accountable for their climate strategies, and the need to raise capital to fund their strategies will grow. As this demand grows, we will likely see the market continue to respond with a greater diversity of financial instruments that are more finely honed to suit issuer, investor or region.

For professional clients use only. Not for distribution to retail clients.

This material is intended to be of general interest only and should not be construed as individual investment advice or a recommendation or solicitation to buy, sell or hold any security or to adopt any investment strategy. It does not constitute legal or tax advice.

The views expressed are those of the investment manager and the comments, opinions and analyses are rendered as at publication date and may change without notice. The information provided in this material is not intended as a complete analysis of every material fact regarding any country, region or market. **All investments involve risks, including possible loss of principal.**

Data from third party sources may have been used in the preparation of this material and Franklin Templeton Investments ("FTI") has not independently verified, validated or audited such data. FTI accepts no liability whatsoever for any loss arising from use of this information and reliance upon the comments opinions and analyses in the material is at the sole discretion of the user.

Products, services and information may not be available in all jurisdictions and are offered outside the U.S. by other FTI affiliates and/or their distributors as local laws and regulation permits. Please consult your own professional adviser for further information on availability of products and services in your jurisdiction.

Austria/Germany: Issued by Franklin Templeton Investment Services GmbH, Mainzer Landstraße 16, D-60325 Frankfurt am Main, Germany. Authorized in Germany by IHK Frankfurt M., Reg. no. D-F-125-TMX1-08. **Netherlands:** FTIS Branch Amsterdam, World Trade Center Amsterdam, H-Toren, 5e verdieping, Zuidplein 36, 1077 XV Amsterdam, Netherlands. Tel +31 (0) 20 575 2890 **Dubai:** Issued by Franklin Templeton Investments (ME) Limited, authorized and regulated by the Dubai Financial Services Authority. Dubai office: Franklin Templeton Investments, The Gate, East Wing, Level 2, Dubai International Financial Centre, P.O. Box 506613, Dubai, U.A.E., Tel.: +9714-4284100 Fax:+9714-4284140. **France:** Issued by Franklin Templeton France S.A., 20 rue de la Paix, 75002 Paris France. **Italy:** Issued by Franklin Templeton International Services S.à.r.l. – Italian Branch, Corso Italia, 1 – Milan, 20122, Italy.

Luxembourg/Benelux: Issued by Franklin Templeton International Services S.à r.l. – Supervised by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier - 8A, rue Albert Borschette, L-1246 Luxembourg - Tel: +352-46 66671- Fax: +352-46 66 76. **Poland:** Issued by Templeton Asset Management (Poland) TFI S.A.; Rondo ONZ 1; 00-124 Warsaw **Romania:** Issued by Bucharest branch of Franklin Templeton Investment Management Limited ("FTIML") registered with the Romania Financial Supervisory Authority under no. PJM01SFIM/400005/14.09.2009., and authorized and regulated in the UK by the Financial Conduct Authority. **Spain:** Issued by the branch of Franklin Templeton Investment Management, Professional of the Financial Sector under the Supervision of CNMV, José Ortega y Gasset 29, Madrid. **South Africa:** Issued by Franklin Templeton Investments SA (PTY) Ltd which is an authorised Financial Services Provider. Tel: +27 (21) 831 7400 Fax: +27 (21) 831 7422. **Switzerland:** Issued by Franklin Templeton Switzerland Ltd, Stockerstrasse 38, CH-8002 Zurich. **UK:** Issued by Franklin Templeton Investment Management Limited (FTIML), registered office: Cannon Place, 78 Cannon Street, London EC4N 6HL. Authorized and regulated in the United Kingdom by the Financial Conduct Authority. **Nordic regions:** Issued by Franklin Templeton International Services S.à.r.l. (FTIS), Swedish branch filial, Blasieholmsgatan 5, SE-111 48 Stockholm, Sweden. Phone: +46 (0) 8 545 01230, Fax: +46 (0) 8 545 01239. FTIS is authorised and regulated in the Luxembourg by the Commission de Surveillance du Secteur Financier and is authorized to conduct certain financial services in Denmark, in Sweden, in Norway and in Finland. **Offshore Americas:** Please visit www.ftinstitutionalemea.com to be directed to your local Franklin Templeton website. Copyright © 2019 Franklin Templeton Investments. All rights reserved.



Please visit:
www.ftinstitutionalemea.com
to be directed to your local web site

For Professional Client Use Only. Not for Distribution to Retail Clients.

© 2019 Franklin Templeton Investments. All rights reserved.